08-27-04



944-003.100 Practitioner's Docket No.

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Reime et al.

09/928,967 Application No.:

Group No.: 2878

Filed: August 13, 2001

Examiner: Thanh X Luu

For: METHOD AND DEVICE FOR DETECTING TOUCH PAD INPUT

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

X	deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patent Washington; D.C.: 20231 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450							
	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *						
] with sufficient postage as first class mail.	"Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" g Label No. <u>EV452362845US</u> (mandatory)						
TRANSMISSION								
	facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Off	thu Shi						
Dat		athy Sturmer						

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 1 of 6)

(type or print name of person certifying)

08/27/2004 HVUONG1 00000103 09928967

01 FC:1801

770.00 OP

(c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

WARNING: The Office will not suspend action in an application when a reply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50102 (comment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24] Page 50102

WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.

NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request can utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A).

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 770.00

TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE

2. T	his r	equest is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below):						
i	☐ Prior to abandonment of the application							
ii.	☐ Payment of the issue fee							
	☐ Prior to payment of issue fee							
		☐ Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted						
iii.		Prior to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences						
		☐ A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed.						
NOT		such a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing the RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114.						
iv.	iv. ☐ Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 14 or ☐ Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 146							
		☐ Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action						
	☐ Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated							
		ENCLOSURES						
3. E	nclos	sed herewith is/are:						
WAR	RNING	: If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b).						
	An	information disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)						
		Form PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)						
X	An	amendment						
	Nev	v arguments						
	Nev	v evidence in support of patentability						
	Oth	er:						

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ 770.00

FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

4. T	his	a	pplication	is on be	half	of:							
		3	Small ent	ity (and	statu	s is still a	as si	mall er	ntity)			:	\$375.00
	0	X.	Other tha	n a sma	II ent	ity				• • • •			\$780.00
						FEE FO	RC	LAIM	IS				
NOT	E:	"T (C:	he fee for co f. 1.53 (d)(3)(i	ontinued ex	kamina lotice (ation under : of March 10	§ 1.1	114 (§ 1 00, 65 Fe	.17(e)) doe ed Reg 14	es not inci 1865, at 1	lude ad 4868.	dditional	claims fee
												er this pai	ragraph is:
37 CFR 1.53(d)(3): "The filing fee for a continued prosecution application filed under this paragraption)." (i) The basic filing fee as set forth in § 1.16; and													
			Any addition of any amen any amendn to be entere	dment acc nents unde d in the co	compa er § 1. continue	nying the re 116 unenter ed prosecuti	ques red ir ion a	t for an the pri pplicatio	application or applica nr. "	n under th tion which	is para n applio	igraph an cant has	nd entry of requested
5. T	he	fe	e for clain	ns (37 C	.F.R.	§ 1.16(b))-(d))	has b	een cal	culated	as sh	own be	elow:
			(Col. 1)		((Col. 2)	(0	Col. 3)	SMALL	ENTITY			THAN A ENTITY
			CLAIMS EMAINING AFTER MENDMENT		PRE	HEST NO. EVIOUSLY AID FOR		ESENT XTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	-	•	27	MINUS	••	27	=	0	x\$9=	\$		x\$18=	\$ 0
INDEP		•	6	MINUS	***	6	=	0	x\$42=	\$	- · ·	x\$84=	\$ ₀
 □FIRS	T F	PRE	SENTATION	OF MULT	IPLE [DEP. CLAIM			+\$140=	\$		+\$280=	\$
								ADI	TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	0
	If the It is the	the the e "l x ir	entry in Col. "Highest No. "Highest No. I Col. 1 of a : See 37 C.	. Previousl . Previousl Previously prior ame	y Paid y Paid Paid I ndmer	i for" IN THI I For" IN TH For" (Total c	IS SF IIS SI or Ind	PACE is PACE is lep.) is t	less than less than he highes	3, enter ' t number	"3."	in the ap	propriate
WAI	1/4/	,,,	. 366 37 0.	•					l! l- l -				,
(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)													
(a) 🛮 No additional fee is required.													
							OR						
(b)	Ę	3	Total add	itional fe	e rec	quired is \$	\$			 •			

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 4 of 6)

EXTENSION OF TIME

(If an extension of time is appropriate complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

6. The proceedings herein are for a patent application, and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) apply. NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) *. . .an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph." (a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time, the fees for which are set out in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4), for the total number of months checked below: Extension for Fee for other than Fee for (months) small entity small entity one month 110.00 \$ 55.00 ☐ two months \$ 410.00 \$ 205.00 ☐ three months \$ 930.00 \$ 465.00 four months \$ 1,450.00 \$ 725.00 \$_110.00 Fee: If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor. (check and complete the next item, if applicable) ☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$_ ____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested. Extension fee due with this request \$___ OR (b) Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this is a conditional petition and authorization to pay the necessary fees to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time. TOTAL FEE(S) DUE WARNING: The fee for continued examination under § 1.114 may not be deferred. 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(f). 7. The total fee(s) due is/are: Continued Prosecution Fee (§ 1.17(e)) \$ 770.00 Fee(s) for additional claims (if any) (§ 1.16(b)-(d)) Extension of time fee (if any) (§ 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) \$ 110.00__ Total Fee(s) Due \$ 880.00

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 5 of 6)

PAYMENT OF FEE(S) DUE

8. Please	e pay the fee(s) for this continue	ed examination application as foll	lows:					
	Check is attached for the sum		\$ 880.00					
	Charge Account	the sum of	\$					
	Charge Credit Card the sum o		\$					
	(Credit Card Payment Form (P	TO-2038) attached)						
Please § 1.17(a)(-	nal fee(s) for § 1.17(e), § 1.16	s(b)-(d) and/or					
Account <u>23-0442</u>								
☐ Credit Card (Credit Card Payment Form (PTO-2038) attached).								
	INVE	NTORSHIP						
	ny change of inventors must be via the 0, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.	procedure set forth in 37 CFR § 1.48. Se	e Notice of March					
9. This a	application as amended names a	as inventors:						
X	m							
fewer than the inventors previously designated ans a statement accompanies this request for the deletion of the name or names of the person or persons who are not inventors of the invention now being claimed.								
 □ a person not named previously as an inventor and a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48 is/has separately: □ being filed □ been filed 								
DEFERRAL OF EXAMINATION								
10. A request for deferral of examination accompanies this request for continued examination.								
Reg. No.:	40,061	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER	·					
Tel. No.:	(203) 261–1234	Kenneth Q. Lao (type or print name of practitioner) Ware, Fressola, Van Der Sluys & Adolphson LI Bradford green, Bldg. 5						
Customer	No.: 004955	P.O. Address 755 Main Street, P.O.Box 224 Monroe, CT 06468-0224						

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 6 of 6)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re Application of: Reime et al.

Attorney Docket No.: 944-003.100

Serial No.: 09/928,967

Art Unit: 2878

Filed: August 13, 2001

Examiner: Thanh X. Luu

For:

METHOD AND DEVICE FOR DETECTING TOUCH PAD INPUT

Mail Stop Fee Amendment Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT IN CONJUNCTION WITH FILING A REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

Sir:

In response to the final office action, mailed April 26, 2004 and the Advisory Action, mailed July 19, 2004, please amend the patent application as follows.

08/27/2004 HVUONG1 00000103 09928967

02 FC:1251

110.00 OP

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on <u>August 25, 2004</u> as Express Mail Label No. <u>EV452362845US</u> and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Cathy Sturmer